



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,030	04/24/2001	Koichi Inagaki	088941/0195	4262

22428 7590 06/16/2005

FOLEY AND LARDNER
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

SRIVASTAVA, VIVEK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/840,030	Applicant(s) INAGAKI, KOICHI	
	Examiner Vivek Srivastava	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, and 5-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must be in the alternative i.e. claims 1 or 2. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 3 and 4 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lawler et al (5,699,107).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9 Lawler discloses a system, method and computer readable recording medium for recording and distributing programming in which the user can record a program at the headend (see col 10 lines 38 – 44). Lawler further discloses the headend comprises a first storage server (continuous media server 32) which provides the storage and delivery of digitized video information (see col 4 lines 50 – 55) and a second storage server (service and application server 30) which processes interactive service requests from subscribers and provides services and applications associated with network security, financial access and data access. Lawler further discloses the second storage server can include a subscriber database which may store subscriber information like a user's identity, a login code which identifies different user's, a user's viewing preferences and history and various user input for use by the system at future times, and recording requests (see col 4 lines 38 – 55).

Lawler further discloses the headend receives the program data (see col 5 lines 37 – 49) and also discloses that storing the data as discussed above and thus discloses the claimed "a server carries out a recording process that receives said program data via a communication line or broadcast line from a broadcast station and stores it in said first storage device". It is noted that the broadcast station is inherently included since the program data is received and that a 'communication line' would also have been inherently to provide a line of communication from the broadcast station to the headend.

Lawler further discloses a distribution registration process that enables a user to login and record a particular program (see col 4 lines 37 – 52 and col 10 lines 38 – 44) via a communication device from the client terminal (fig 2). It is noted that since the

Art Unit: 2611

program is recorded for the viewer at the headend and then provided or 'distributed' to the user, the registration process is a 'distribution registration process'. Lawler further discloses the second server (the service and application server) stores registration data in the form of both recording requests and login data for future distribution to the user.

Regarding claim 8, Lawler discloses a program recording and distribution method comprising the step providing a user with an option of recording a program noting that the program is recorded at the headend (see fig 5, fig 6, fig 11, fig 8 and fig 10, col 10 lines 38 – 45).

Lawler further discloses a distribution registration process that enables a user to login and record a particular program (see col 4 lines 37 – 52 and col 10 lines 38 – 44) via a communication device from the client terminal (fig 2). Regarding the steps of receiving distribution application for a program from a client, step of registering the received distribution application as distribution information and the step of distributing the recorded programs based on registered distribution information, Lawler inherently discloses the limitation of receiving distribution application since the program stored at the headend would have been distributed to the user based on a user's address or location information.

Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Srinivasan et al (US 6,411,992).

Regarding claim 7, Srinivasan discloses a method for broadcasting information over a network comprising a broadcaster server 10 which pre-records a plurality of

Art Unit: 2611

programs received from an external broadcast source 12 or 'broadcast station' (see col 5 lines 15 – 45). Srinivasan further discloses prior to making the broadcasts of the pre-stored programs, user's who have logged-on to the system provide demographic information or 'distribution application program' enabling customized distribution of programs to the user (see col 5 lines 23 – 32) using the user's distribution address (see col 5 lines 25 – 32). Srinivasan further discloses access to the broadcast server by the user's may be restricted to authorized user's and can be accessed by entering a user ID and password (see col 5 lines 39 – 45) and thus discloses the claimed registering step since the user can provide and access information after registering. Provided the users, are authorized the user can receive the customized programs to their distribution address (see col 5 lines 10 – 45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawler (US 5,699,107) in view of Kusaba et al (US 6,510,556).

Regarding claim 2, Lawler, as discussed above, discloses the claimed first storage device, second storage device, and a server that carries out a recording registration process.

Lawler fails to disclose the claimed a third storage device that stores a plurality of distribution registration data, which is the distribution information for program data stored in the second storage device and the claimed distribution process in which the program data stored in the second storage device is distributed via a communication device based on the distribution registration data stored in the third storage device.

In analogous art, Kusaba et al teaches a system in which a user can reserve a program for viewing by reserving the title of the program and a desired delivery time (see fig 4C and col 1 lines 64 – 67). It is noted that the table is a separate schedule table stored in memory 110 separate from the video data storage 102. It would have been obvious including a third memory with a system for scheduling delivery of the desired content would have also provided a user with added flexibility by enabling the user to receive the content at the desired time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kusaba to include the claimed limitation to provide the user with added flexibility.

Regarding claim 6, Lawler discloses the claimed step for receiving program recording application data and step for receiving program data as discussed in above. Lawler fails to disclose a process for receiving distribution application data for a program via a communication device from a client terminal, carrying out registration process, and storing this in a third storage device as distribution registration data and a

Art Unit: 2611

process for distributing via a communication device program data stored in the second storage device based on the distribution registration data stored in the third storage device.

In analogous art, Kusaba et al teaches a system in which a user can reserve a program for viewing by reserving the title of the program and a desired delivery time (see fig 4C and col 1 lines 64 – 67). It is noted that the table is a separate schedule table stored in memory 110 separate from the video data storage 102. It would have been obvious including a third memory with a system for scheduling delivery of the desired content would have also provided a user with added flexibility by enabling the user to receive the content at the desired time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kusaba to include the claimed limitation to provide the user with added flexibility.

Regarding claim 10, Lawler discloses the claimed process for receiving program recording application data and process for receiving program data as discussed in above. Lawler fails to disclose a process for receiving distribution application data for a program via a communication device from a client terminal, carrying out registration process, and storing this in a third storage device as distribution registration data and a process for distributing via a communication device program data stored in the second storage device based on the distribution registration data stored in the third storage device.

In analogous art, Kusaba et al teaches a system in which a user can reserve a program for viewing by reserving the title of the program and a desired delivery time

Art Unit: 2611

(see fig 4C and col 1 lines 64 – 67). It is noted that the table is a separate schedule table stored in memory 110 separate from the video data storage 102. It would have been obvious including a third memory with a system for scheduling delivery of the desired content would have also provided a user with added flexibility by enabling the user to receive the content at the desired time. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kusaba to include the claimed limitation to provide the user with added flexibility.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Haddad (US 5,555,441) – Interactive audiovisual distribution system

Fujita et al (6,507,697) – Data recording and reproduction apparatus

Payton (US 5,790,935) – Virtual on-demand system

Nishiyama et al (US 6,725,460) – Multi-media automatic delivery system


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivek Srivastava whose telephone number is (571) 272-7304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9 am to 6 pm.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272 - 7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vs


VIVEK SRIVASTAVA
PRIMARY EXAMINER